

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA358895**

Filing date: **07/20/2010**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91173105
Party	Plaintiff Honda Motor Co., Ltd.
Correspondence Address	DYAN FINGUERRA-DuCHARME, ESQ. WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 399 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022 UNITED STATES Dyan.Finguerra-DuCharme@wilmerhale.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Dyan Finguerra-DuCharme
Filer's e-mail	dyan.finguerra@whitecase.com
Signature	/dyan finguererra-ducharme/
Date	07/20/2010
Attachments	fingudy_072010_135054.pdf (3 pages)(236541 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

HONDA MOTOR CO., LTD.)	
)	
)	
v.)	Opposition No. 91/173,105
)	
MICHAEL DALTON)	
)	
Applicant.)	
)	

**Opposer's Response to Defendant's Opposition to
Plaintiff's Revocation of Previous Power of Attorney, Appointment of New Attorney, and
Change of Correspondence Address**

Opposer Honda Motor Co., Ltd. ("Opposer") respectfully requests that the Board acknowledge its Revocation of Previous Power of Attorney and Appointment of New Attorney, and enter Opposer's Change of Correspondent's Address. Applicant's Opposition to this perfunctory filing is unfounded.

Trademark Rule 2.119(e) provides that "every paper filed in an *inter partes* proceeding must be signed by the party filing it, or by the party's attorney or other authorized representative..." Here, as noted on the Revocation of Previous Power of Attorney, Joseph Juliano is an authorized representative of Honda Motor Co., Ltd. for this opposition proceeding. Indeed, Mr. Juliano is a licensed attorney who is Honda Motor Co., Ltd.'s counsel in this opposition proceeding and is therefore qualified to sign the power of attorney. Trademark Rule 2.17(a) provides that:

When an attorney as defined in § 10.1(c) of this chapter acting in a representative capacity appears in person or signs a document in practice before the Trademark Office in a trademark case, his or her personal appearance or signature shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Office that, under


the provisions of § 10.14 and the law, he or she is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he or she acts. Further proof of authority to act in a representative capacity may be required.

Other than baseless accusations, Applicant has given no reasonable basis on which to conclude either that Mr. Juliano is not an authorized representative or that additional proof is necessary.

As with Applicant's other motions (*see, e.g.*, Docket Nos. 12, 43, 45, 46 & 84), his latest filing is another example of his insistence on wasting the Board's valuable resources with frivolous motions instead of addressing the merits of the opposition. As with the other five motions, the Board should deny this one, too.

Dated: July 20, 2010

Respectfully submitted,



Dyan Finguerra-DuCharme
White & Case LLP
1155 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
Phone: 212.819.8200
Fax: 212.354.8113
Email: dyan.finguerra@whitecase.com;
trademarkdocket@whitecase.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this Revocation of Previous Power of Attorney, Appointment of New Attorney, and Change of Correspondence Address has been served on Applicant, Michael Dalton, by first class mail on July 20, 2010 to the following address:

P.O. Box 18137
670 Northland Blvd.
Cincinnati, OH 45218-0137



Dyan Finguerra-DuCharme